

## HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

### Officer Decision Record

<b>Decision Maker:</b>	Adrian Gray
<b>Title:</b>	Traffic Order Proposals : 26t Weight Limit on The Causeway at Romsey
<b>Reference:</b>	A1047

**Tel:** 01962 846892

[adrian.gray@hants.gov.uk](mailto:adrian.gray@hants.gov.uk)

**Email:** [daniel.hutchings@hants.gov.uk](mailto:daniel.hutchings@hants.gov.uk)

#### 1. The decision:

1.1. That a traffic order be implemented under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (RTRA), the effects of which will be to prohibit vehicles exceeding 26 tonnes gross maximum weight on the entire length of The Causeway bridge at Romsey.

#### 2. Reason(s) for the decision:

- 2.1. As part of the flood defence project for Romsey, a new bridge structure will be constructed on The Causeway, a private street with public footpath access. Due to the method of construction, width of the bridge and for preservation of the new bridge structure, Hampshire County Council proposes to make a Traffic Regulation Order to implement a 26 Tonne structural weight restriction, to restrict access by large vehicles
- 2.2. No objections or representations were received in response to the public consultation which took place between 21 August and 11 September 2020.
- 2.3. Due consideration has been given to RTRA 1984 sections 122(1) and 122(2) and any other relevant legislation. In this case the Decision Maker acting on behalf of the Local Authority considers this TRO expeditious, for the convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians).
- 2.4 The decision outlined in paragraph 2.3 (above) to exercise the functions of the Local Authority under RTRA 1984 sections 122(1) and 122(2) has been reached on the basis of what is reasonably practicable after due regard has been given to:
- the desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises
  - the effect on the amenities of any locality affected and, specifically, the importance of regulation and restricting the use of roads by heavy

commercial vehicles so as to preserve or improve the amenities of the areas through which the roads run

**3. Other options considered and rejected:**

3.1. None

**4. Scheme Funding:**

4.1. Revenue

**5. Conflicts of interest:**

5.1. None

**6. Dispensation granted by the Head of Paid Service:**

6.1. None

**7. Supporting information:**

7.1. Local Member, Councillor R Perry supports the proposal(s)

7.2. Police – have no objections

7.3. File A1047

**Approved by:**

*Adrian Gray*

**Date:**

**28/09/2020**

-----  
**Adrian Gray, Head of Highways (Traffic)**

**On behalf of the Director of Economy, Transport and Environment**